UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

ROBERT SUTTON, : CASE NO. 1:17-cv-233

Plaintiff,

vs. : OPINION AND ORDER : [Resolving Doc. 1]

COMMISSIONER SOCIAL SECURITY, :

Defendant.

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On February 5, 2017, Plaintiff Robert Sutton filed a complaint seeking judicial review of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's decision to deny his applications for a period of disability, Disability Insurance Benefits, and Supplemental Security Income. The Court referred the matter to Magistrate Judge Jonathan D. Greenberg.

On December 8, 2017, Magistrate Judge Greenberg issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") recommending that this Court vacate the Commissioner's final decision and remand the case for further proceedings.² On December 15, the Commissioner notified the Court that it does not intend to object to the R&R.³

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of an R&R to which the parties have made an objection.⁴ Failure to timely object waives a party's right to appeal the magistrate's report. ⁵ So, where a party does not object to the R&R, a district court may adopt it without review.⁶

² Doc. 14.

¹ Doc. <u>1</u>.

³ Doc. <u>15</u>.

⁴ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

⁵ Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985); United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

⁶ See Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149–50.

Case No. 1:17-cv-233 Gwin, J.

Accordingly, in light of the Commissioner's decision not to object to the R&R in this case, the Court **ADOPTS** Magistrate Judge Greenberg's R&R, incorporates it as if fully restated herein, **VACATES** the Commissioner's final decision, and **REMANDS** the case for further proceedings consistent with Magistrate Judge Greenberg's R&R.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 22, 2017 s/ James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE